


REMARKS

This is a response to the final office action mailed Feb. 24, 2005 to place the claims in condition for allowance by canceling all rejected claims. The examiner rejected claims 9-11 provisionally under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting; rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tucker, claims 9-13 as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Miller in view of Lamb; claims 2-3 as being unpatentable over Tucker; and claims 4-5 as being unpatentable over Tucker. The applicant notes that claims 16-23 are allowed.

The applicant has cancelled claims 1-5 and 6-12 leaving the allowed claims. The applicant has added claims 24-25 which contain limitations of previously allowable claims.

For these reasons, the applicant respectfully requests the examiner to place the case in condition for allowance at her earliest convenience.

Respectfully Submitted



Clifford H. Kraft
Reg. no. 35,229
Attorney of Record



CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

Clifford H. Kraft
320 Robin Hill Dr.
Naperville, IL 60540
708 528-9092 tel.

First Class Mailing Certificate

I certify that this paper is being placed in United States
1st Class mail with sufficient postage addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA
22313-1450 on:

Date: OCT. 18, 2005

Signature: Clifford Kraft

Name: Clifford H. Kraft